Ajay Kumar Meena, Jeetendra D. Soni(December 2018).PATTERN OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AMONG THE TRIBES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE TSP AND NON-TSP AREAS OF RAJASTHAN: *International Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *12*(1), 216-221

Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.org/index.php/journal

## PATTERN OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AMONG THE TRIBES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE TSP AND NON-TSP AREAS OF RAJASTHAN: Ajay Kumar Meena

Associate Professor, Government College, Newai, Tonk (Rajasthan).

Jeetendra D. Soni,

Assistant Professor, Government Arts College, Sikar (Rajasthan).

### Abstract:

The household income in general and per capita household income in particular reflects the totality of livelihood and development of people. In this paper, attempts have been made to explain the pattern of income of the tribes of Rajasthan. The study is based on primary data collected through household survey in 2012. The analysis has been carried out in a regional framework in which the pattern of income of the tribes of the Tribal Sub-Plan (TSP) areas has been compared with the tribes of non-TSP areas. First, the pattern of income has been examined across household types. Then the sectoral composition of income has been explained in order to know the significance of different sectors in the economy. Finally, the households have been divided into per capita income groups.

Key Words-Tribal Sub-Plan, Tribes, Rajasthan, Per Capita Income, Household Types

## **Introduction:**

As per the Population Census2011, the numerical strength of scheduled tribes is 9.23 millionin Rajasthan, which accounts for 13.5 percent of the state's total population. The southern part comprising of the districts of Banswara, Dungarpur and Udaipur is the most important area of the concentration of tribalpopulationand majority of this is covered under the Tribal Sub-Plan. Another area with a significantly high proportion of tribal population is the eastern part of the state comprising of the districts of Dausa, Karauli and Sawai Madhopur. The area is not covered by the Tribal Sub-Plan.It is a comparative study of the pattern of income among the tribes of these two regions. First, the pattern of income has been examined across household types. Then the sectoral composition of income has been explained in order to know the significance of different sectors in the economy. Finally, the households have been divided into per capita income groups. The study is based on primary data collected through household survey in 2012.

## **Literature Review:**

Thereview of study shows that the tribes have access to the limited means of livelihood. They own land but the problems are associated with the size of holding and land quality. The access to capital assets is very limited. The occupational patternof the tribes shows that most of them are concentrated in the traditional griculture sector. Casuallabour is another important livelihood activity where the tribes have no choice but to work on low wages. Agriculture is the main source of livelihood in terms of employment but the income contributed by this sector is very low. The net farm business income is very low, mainly because of high cost of cultivation and low farm productivity. The livelihood diversification, particularly towards the non-farm enterprises and regular salaried class is minimal.All these factors result in low level of income among the tribes.

Ajay Kumar Meena, Jeetendra D. Soni(December 2018).PATTERN OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AMONG THE TRIBES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE TSP AND NON-TSP AREAS OF RAJASTHAN: *International Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *12*(1), 216-221

Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.org/index.php/journal

### **Objective:**

In this paper, attempts have been made to explain the pattern of income by household types. Then the sectoral composition of income has been explained in order to know the significance of different sectors in the economy. The households have also been divided into per capita income groups.

### **Income by Household Types:**

Table1 provides the details of annual household income and income per capita by household types for both TSP and Non-TSPAreas.

### **TSPArea:**

The annual household income for all categories of the households is Rs 24515which turns out to be Rs 4370 per capita per annum. However, there exists a great variation in the household income across the different household types. About two third of the households belong tothe self-employed in agriculture and the average annual income of the households falling in this category is Rs 17292 with a per capita income of Rs 3199 per annum. Thus, the income of the majority of the households is much lower than the average income of the Area. Other labour is the household type which accounts for 26.5 percent of the total households and have a household income and income per capita of Rs 26618 and Rs 4423 per annum, respectively. The annual household income and the per capita income are as high as Rs 187042 and Rs 29533 respectively for others category of households. This is the category which includes the households having salaried person but only 3.0 percent of the total households belong to this household type. The self-employed in non-agriculture and agriculture labour are the household types having very low level of household income. The household income of the self-employed in non-agriculture households is Rs 13642 per annum resulting in per capita income of Rs 2200 per annum. The household income and income per capita of the agriculture labour households are only Rs 2171 and Rs 434 respectively.

Table1: Annual Income by Household Types (2011-12)						
	TSPArea		Non-TSPArea			
Household Types	Gross	Per Capita	Gross	Per Capita		
	Income	Income	Income	Income		
Self-Employed in Agriculture	17292	3199	131030	18408		
Self-Employed in Non-	13642	2200	4939	823		
Agriculture						
Agriculture Labour	2171	434	NA	NA		
Other Labour	26618	4423	36724	4511		
Others	187042	29533	314523	68613		
ALL	24515	4370	132646	19236		

Source: Field Survey

© 2022 by The Author(s). Corresponding author: Ajay Kumar Meena, Jeetendra D. Soni

Submitted: 27Oct 2018, Revised: 09 Nov 2018, Published: Dec 2018

Ajay Kumar Meena, Jeetendra D. Soni(December 2018).PATTERN OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AMONG THE TRIBES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE TSP AND NON-TSP AREAS OF RAJASTHAN: International Journal of Economic Perspectives, 12(1), 216-221 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.org/index.php/journal

## **Non-TSPArea:**

In the Non-TSPArea, the annual household income and income per capita are Rs 132646 and Rs 19236 respectively for all categories of households. Most of the households (94.0 percent) have their status as self-employed in agriculture and the income of this category of households is Rs 131030 per annum, slightly less than the average household income in the Area. Others household is the category in which the household income is exceptionally high (Rs 314523), however, only 2.5 percent of the households have their status as other households. Three percent of the households belong to the other labour category with the household income and per capita income of Rs 36724 and Rs 4511 per annum respectively. The proportion of households belonging to the categories of agriculture labour households and self-employed in non-agriculture households are either zero or insignificant.



Figure 1: Per Capita Incomeby Household Types (2011-12)

The analysis of the income by household types brings out the following points:

- The household income for all categories of the households is about 5.4 times higher in the Non-TSPArea.
- Self-employed in agriculture which provides employment to the majority of the tribes in both the Areas, can be considered as a good quality livelihood source (in terms of income) in the Non-TSPArea but it is not so in the TSPArea.
- Other labour is an important source of income but only in the TSPArea.
- Self-employed in non-agriculture and agriculture labour are insignificant activities in terms of both employment and income in both the Areas.
- Others, though a livelihood source of limited households, is very important from the point of view of income in both the Areas.

Ajay Kumar Meena, Jeetendra D. Soni(December 2018).PATTERN OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AMONG THE TRIBES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE TSP AND NON-TSP AREAS OF RAJASTHAN: *International Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *12*(1), 216-221

Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.org/index.php/journal

## **Income by Sectors:**

The income of the households is the sum of the incomes derived from various sources and the proportion of the income contributed by a particular sector shows the importance of that enterprise in the economy of that Area. Table 7.2 provides the percentage share of different sectors in the total household income of the TSP and Non-TSPAreas.

Table2. Sectoral Composition of medine (2011-12)				
Sector/ Enterprise	TSPArea	Non-TSPArea		
Farm Enterprise	16.3	58.4		
Non-Farm Business/Enterprise	4.6	0.3		
Casual Labour	44.7	10.0		
Regular Salaried	34.4	31.3		
Total	100.0	100.0		

Table2: Sectoral C	Composition of Income	(2011-12)
--------------------	-----------------------	-----------

Source: Field Survey

## **TSPArea:**

Casual labour is the most important source of income in the TSPArea as it contributes to about 47 percent of the total income. The regular salaried, in both public and private sectors, account for 34 percent of the totalincome. Farming enterprise, though a livelihood source of the majority of the people, contributes only 16.3 percent to the total income. The very low share of the farm enterprise in the total household income is mainly because of the fact that the net farm business income (net of Cost  $A_2$ ) is very low for the farming households and in many cases, it is even negative. The non-farm enterprise is not very popular livelihood source among the tribesand it accounts for less than 5 percent of the total income.

## **Non-TSPArea:**

Farm enterprise is the most important source of livelihood as it accounts for 58.4 percent of the total income. Although the farm enterprise accounts for the largest proportion of the income in the Non-TSPArea, the share is much less than the proportion of the people employed in this enterprise. Regular salaried class is the second important sector as it contributes to about 31 percent of the total household income. The shareof casual wage labour in total income is only 10.0 percent and the share of non-farm enterprise is only 0.3 percent.

The above analysis shows that in terms of contribution to the total household income, farming is important in the Non-TSPArea but casual labour is more important in the TSPArea. The contribution of regular salaried is equally important in both the Areas. The contribution of non-farm enterprises is insignificant in both the Areas.

# Per Capita Income:

The per capita income of the households shows the totality of the livelihood of the people. The higher per capita income of the households implies that they have access to the betterquality livelihood sources and the lower per capita income means the livelihood sources of the households are not so remunerative.

The household income is the sum total of the earnings of all the members of the households from different sources. The net incomes from the farm and non-farm enterprises, wages of casual labour, the earnings of the regular salaried and the transfer payments from the government have been added to arrive at the total household income. The total household

Submitted: 27Oct 2018, Revised: 09 Nov 2018, Published: Dec 2018

Ajay Kumar Meena, Jeetendra D. Soni(December 2018).PATTERN OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AMONG THE TRIBES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE TSP AND NON-TSP AREAS OF RAJASTHAN: *International Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *12*(1), 216-221

Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.org/index.php/journal

income has been further divided by the number of household members in order to get the per capita household income.

In order to explain the pattern of the household income, the households have been divided into per capita income groups and details have been provided in table 7.3

## **TSPArea:**

In the TSP Area, 15.5 percent households have negative per capita income which is mainly because of the negative net farm income (net of Cost  $A_2$ ). On the positive side, about three fourth (74.0 percent) of the total households belong to the lowest per capita income group (i.e. less than Rs 10,000). Further, only 6.5 percent households have their per capita income averaging between Rs 10,000 and Rs 20,000. Only 4.0 percent households of the TSP Area have their per capita income equal to or or more than Rs 20,000

PCI Group (Rs)	TSPArea	Non-TSPArea
< 0 (Negative)	15.5	1.0
0-10000	74.0	42.0
10000 - 20000	6.5	29.0
20000 - 30000	1.5	10.5
=>30000	2.5	17.5
All Groups	100.0	100.0

 Table 3: Percentage Distribution of Households by Per Capita Income (2011-12)

Source: Field Survey

# Non-TSPArea:

In Non-TSPArea, only 1.0 percent of the total households have negative per capita income. On the positive side, 42.0 percent households belong to the lowest per capita income group (Rs 0-10,000). The proportion of the households having per capita income between Rs 10,000 and Rs 20,000 is as high as 29.0 percent. Further, 28.0 percent of the households have their per capita incomemore than Rs 20,000 out of which 17.5 percent households have their per capita income equal to or > Rs 30,000.

Thus, in terms of per capita income, there exist a wide gap between the households of the TSPArea and the households of the Non-TSPArea. In the TSPArea, most of the households are concentrated in the negative and the lowest (positive) per capita income groups. On the other hand, the households are well distributed over all the positive per capita income groups and 17.5 percent of them falling in the highest per capita income groups.

# Conclusion

On the basis of the analysis of the household income of the tribes of both the TSP and Non-TSPAreas the following conclusions can be made:

- The per capita household income is about 4.4 times higher among the tribes of the Non-TSPArea for all categories of households and the difference is even higher in case of the self-employed in agriculture households.
- The maximum proportion of the household income in the Non-TSPArea is contributed by the farm enterprise, followed by the contributions of regular salaried and casual wage

Ajay Kumar Meena, Jeetendra D. Soni(December 2018).PATTERN OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME AMONG THE TRIBES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE TSP AND NON-TSP AREAS OF RAJASTHAN: International Journal of Economic Perspectives, 12(1), 216-221 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.org/index.php/journal

labour. On the other hand, casual wage labour contributes maximum proportion to the household income followed by the shares of regular salaried and farm enterprises.

• The distribution of the households in the per capita income groups shows that 90.0 percent households of the TSPArea have their per capita income less than Rs 10,000 and about 15 percent households have even negative per capita household income. On the other hand, 57.0 percent households of the Non-TSPArea have their per capita household income more than Rs 10,000 and about 17 percent households have their per capita household income groups shows that 90.0 percent households.

# **References:**

- 1. Anderson, E. and P. Deshinkar (2005), "Livelihood Diversification in Rural Andhra Pradesh,India", in Frank E and Freeman H A (ed) Rural Livelihood and Poverty Reduction Policies,Routledge, N.York.
- 2. Chadha, G.K., S. Sen and H. R. Sharma (2004), "Land Resources" in State of Indian Farmers: AMillennium Study, Vol.2, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, AcademicFoundation, N. Delhi.
- 3. Christopher, B. and B.M. Swallow (2005), "Dynamic Poverty Traps and Rural Livelihood", inFrank E and Freeman H A (ed) Rural Livelihood and Poverty Reduction Policies, Routledge, N.York.
- 4. Census of India (2011).
- 5. Ghadolia, M. K. (1992), "Infrastructure Development Programmes in Tribal Sub-Plan Areas inRajasthan", Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics Vol.47, No.3.
- 6. Meena, A.K. (2001), "Occupation, Employment and Poverty of Tribes in India: A Regional andComparative Analysis", M. Phil. Dissertation, CSRD/SSS/JNU/ N. Delhi.
- 7. Meena, A.K. (2014), "Ownership of the Livelihood Assets by the Tribes: A ComparativeAnalysis of Hill and Plain Regions of Rajasthan", the Indian Economic Journal, Special Issue,Dec.
- 8. Meena, A.K. (2015), "Livelihood Patterns of Tribes in Rajasthan: A Case Study of Hill and PlainRegions of Rajasthan", Ph. D. Thesis, CSRD/SSS/JNU/ N. Delhi.
- 9. National Sample Survey Organisation, (2009-10), "Employment and UnemploymentSituation among Social Groups in India", 66 th Round.
- 10. Thorat, Sukhadeo (1993), "Land Ownership Structure and Non-Farm Employment of RuralHouseholds in India", Indian Journal of Labour Economics Vol.36, No.3.
- 11. Thorat, Sukhadeo (2011), "Growth Inequality and Poverty Linkages during 1983-2005:Implications for Socially Inclusive Growth", Indian Journal of Agriculture Economics, Vol. 66,No-1.