The Contribution of the *Ayurveda* to *Nyāya* Philosophy

Dalip kumar Meena 42/B Krishana Colony Jones Ganj Ajmer Raj Email-dk.sikar@gmail.com

Āyurveda is the contributive current of Indian knowledge tradition. It is called "science of life", the traditional natural healing system of ancient India. Its main aim is to cure with prevention of diseases and enhancement of life with natural way. It supports the purely practical and scientific aspects of universe and human being. It is not only the traditional natural healing science of India but also it has germinated and nourished some branches of the Indian thought tradition and expanded some other branches with adding new dimensions, directly and indirectly. Āyurveda has directly contributed the Indian knowledge tradition as the beginning of a new philosophical thought that is known as Nyāya philosophy. Although this philosophical thought has changed in its ontological pattern from Ayurveda, yet this change is a development and not a negative or differentiating change. The system of Pramāṇa is the basis of Nyāya Philosophy. The Nyāya philosophy advocates to accept different forms of existence and different concepts, only on the basis of this Pramāṇa System. This pramāṇa system of the Nyāya Philosophy is directly based on Āyurvedic cognition theory or epistemology. For example, thedefinitions of Pratyakśa, Anumāna Upamāna and Śabda Pramāṇas are being discussed here.

Pratyakṣa: - According to *Carakasaṁhitā*, first of all, the senses, joining the mind, perceive the objects of their respective fields, after that the mind reflects upon the perceived object on the basis of, its own merits and demerits. After this reflection, determinative knowledge occursⁱ. In *Nyāyasutra*, *Pratyakṣa* is that knowledge which arises from the contact of senses with its object and which is determinate, unnameable and non-erraticⁱⁱ.

Anumāna: - Carakasamhitā has discussed Anumāna in this way - "The Scholars investigate the things, having completed the Pratyakṣa or observation according to the classification of the time, in three ways as fire after seeing the smoke, sex after seeing pregnancy and similar fruit after seeing the seediii." In Nyāyasutra, It is a knowledge which is preceded by Pratyakṣa and is of three kinds viz. āpriori, āposteriori and commonly seeniv.

 $\hat{S}abda$: - In $Ny\bar{a}yasutra$, $\hat{S}abda$ is the instructive assertion of a reliable person. According to the $\bar{A}yurveda$, it is necessary for any field of study to achieve entering knowledge and it can only be got from the speeches (oral or written) of a scholar of the respective field of study or knowledge. In the $\bar{A}yurvedic$ terminology this kind of scholar is called ' $\bar{A}pta$ '. The $\bar{A}pta$ means a

Dalip kumar Meena (Nov 2021). The Contribution of the Ayurveda to Nyāya Philosophy

International Journal of Economic Perspectives, *15*(1), 552-555 Retrieved from https://ijeponline.org/index.php/journal

person who is accepted to be the explainer and discussing of the respective field of study, by the other people and any institute regarding the respective studies.^{vi}

 $Upam\bar{a}na$: - $Upam\bar{a}na$ isto cognize a cognizable with the help of its famous similarity with any other "i."

In the light of these definitions, it can be said that first two are merely an imitation of the first two steps of the \bar{A} $prama \bar{n}a$ accepted by \bar{A} $prama \bar{n}a$ and fourth is also and imitations of the definition of \bar{A} $prama \bar{n}a$ $prama \bar{n}a$ pra

Having studied these definitions of $Pram\bar{a}_{n}\bar{a}s$, now $V\bar{a}dam\bar{a}raga$ of $Carakasamhit\bar{a}$ is being discussed where described sixteen basic element of $Ny\bar{a}ya$ philosophy. Although the ancient physicists developed a very nice theory to cognize all the two parts the medical studies i.e. disease and medicine, but they realized by time that it was not sufficient. So, they initiated to assemble in council to discuss different issues of medical studies. There councils are known as $Sambh\bar{a}_s\bar{a}$ -parisada 'Council of balanced discussion'. For the successful functioning of $Sambh\bar{a}_s\bar{a}$ -parisada, they setup some rules which are known as $V\bar{a}dam\bar{a}rga$. This $V\bar{a}dam\bar{a}rga$ consists of fourty four elements there are being presented under two headings-

Categories of Existence: - The founder of Āyureveda, Ācharya Bharadvāja introduced six categories of existence. These categories are listed in the constituents of the Vādamārga. These are - Dravya, Guṇa, Karma, Sāmānya, Viśeṣa, Samavāya.

Council Rules: - These rules are said for the successful presentation, discussion, and conclusion on different issues by the members. The rules are termed as 'Vādamārga-pada'. Although the meaning of 'pada' is term, here signifies rules of the council because here all the padas are necessary actions in deciding correctness and incorrectness of the behavior of members and the presentation by the members.

These are Vāda, Pratijñā, Sthāpanā, Pratiṣṭhāpnā, Hetu, Dṛaṣṭānta, Upanaya, Nigamana, Tarka, Siddhānta, Śabda, Pratyakṣa, Anumāna, Aitihya, Aupamya, Saṁśaya, Prayojana, Savyabhicāra, Uttar, Jijñāsā, Vyavasāya, Arthāprapti, Saṁbhava, Anuyojya, Ananuyoga, Anuyoga, Pratyanuyoga, Vākyadoṣa, Vākyapraśaṁsā, Chala, Ahetu, Vitandā, Atītakālam, Upālaṁbha, Parihāra, Pratijñāhāni, Abhyanujñā, Arthāntara, Nigrahasthāna.

Even if all these are the rules for successful functioning of council but these also add some important dimensions to the epistemology for example- Aupamya provides facility recognize the things with the help of comparison likewise Pratijñā, Hetu, Dṛaṣṭānta, Upanaya and Nigamana introduce step by step way of correct inference and Ahetu, Vākyadauṣa, Pratiṣṭhāpnā, Chala, Savyabhicāra, Vitandā, Atitakāla, Upālambha and Pratijñāhāni make

Dalip kumar Meena (Nov 2021). The Contribution of the Ayurveda to Nyāya Philosophy

International Journal of Economic Perspectives, 15(1), 552-555 Retrieved from https://ijeponline.org/index.php/journal

one aware of the things which disturb the proper process of cognition in the same way *Pratijñā*, *Sthāpanā*, *Siddhānta*, *Uttar*, *Jijñāsā*, *Vyavasāya*, *Arthāpatti*, *Saṃbhava Anuyojya*, *Anuyoga*, *Pratyanuyoga*, *Parihār* add some clearness to the cognition.^{ix}

In the same way the *Nyāya* philosophy has also accepted the notions of *Saṃsaya*, *Prayojana*, *Dṛṣṭānt*, *siddhānta*, *five Avayavas*, *different Hetvabhāsa*, *Jalpa*, *Vitaṇdā*, *Vāda*, *kālātīta*, *Chala*, *and Nigrahasthāna* from the constituents of *Vādmārga*.

Having studied this vast description of $V\bar{a}dam\bar{a}rga$ and $Pram\bar{a}n\bar{a}s$, it can be said that there are great similarities into $\bar{A}yurveda$ and $Ny\bar{a}ya$ philosophy. $\bar{A}yurveda$ has established these concepts of $Ny\bar{a}ya$ are of great practical importance in science of treatment. The $V\bar{a}dapad\bar{a}s$ and $Pram\bar{a}n\bar{a}s$ are the tools which were helpful into correctly investigate and diagnose diseases for physicians. In this context, Prof. $S.\ N.D\bar{a}s\ Gupata$ correctly stated- "It is reasonable suppose that the art of debate and its other accessories developed from early time in the traditional medical schools, whence they are found collected in Caraka's work."

A question can be raised here how it can be accepted that the *Nyāya* tradition had accepting its basic concepts from the *Ayurvedic* tradition, because some scholars accept 150 B.C. as the time of composing of Nyāya-sutraxi and the time of composition of Carakasamhitā is 1st century A.D.xii and in this way, the Carakasamhitā stands as succeeding one. But this question is answered by the $Ny\bar{a}ya$ -sutra itself. The $Ny\bar{a}ya$ -sutra clearly accepts the $\bar{A}yurveda$ as reliable as Veda-mantrāsxiii. Now again a question comes how it can be stated that the word 'Ayurveda' used in the quoted sutra, signifies the Carakasamhitā. First of all it must be noted that the refutation of Zeroism (Śunyavāda) is found in the Nyāya-sutra and the time of Nāgārjuna, the founder of Zeroism (Śunyavāda) is 2nd century A. D.xiv So, it can be easily stated that the Nyāya-sutra was composed after Nāgārjuna or in the time of Nāgārjuna. On the other hand, many scholars accept that Caraka was the chief Physician of the Emperor Kanişka. In this way, the Carakasamhitā stands a precedent of the Nyāya sutra and Dr. P.V. Sharma considers the time of Carakasamhitā in the 2nd century B.C. If the time, accepted by Dr. P.V. sharma is correct and acceptable, the Carakasamhitā again stands precedent of the Nyāya sutraxv. In this regard, Prof. S. N. Dasgupta states-"1The origin of the logical art of debate in the school of *Āyurveda* is So, Natural and the illustrations of the mode of dispute and the categories of the art of debate are so often taken from the medical field."xvi

In conclusion, it can be said that it is a unavoidable fact, the $\bar{A}yurvedic$ thought has started a new revolution in the Indian knowledge tradition and because of it; the $\bar{A}yurveda$ could get a stronger base and could become the base of $Ny\bar{a}ya$ philosophy and contributed to other branches of the Indian knowledge tradition.

-

Dalip kumar Meena (Nov 2021). The Contribution of the Ayurveda to Nyāya Philosophy

International Journal of Economic Perspectives, *15*(1), 552-555 Retrieved from https://ijeponline.org/index.php/journal

ⁱCarakasamhitā 1.11.20

Bibliography:-

- Carakasamhitā, Kaśīnātha Śāstri, Caukhambha Sanskrit Pratishthan, Varansi, 2007
- ➤ Mūlasarvāstivādavinayavastu, S. Bagchi, Vol-1, Mithila Institute of post graduate studies and Sanskrit learning, Darbhanga, 1967
- ➤ A history of Indian Philosophy, Surendranath Dasgupta vol-2, Motilal Banarsidass Publishers Pvt. Ltd, Delhi, 2006
- ➤ Nyāya Darśana of Gautam, S. Chandra Vidyabhusana New Bharatiya Book Corporation, Delhi (India) 2003
- > Science and Society in Ancient India, Deviprasad Cattopadyay, Nice Printing Press, Delhi, 2001
- ➤ Āyurveda Darśana mein Pramāṇa Mīmāmsā, Vijay Kumar Meena, Unpublished Research Work, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, 2008
- ➤ Bhāratīya Darśana kī Cintanadhārā, Rammurti Sharma, Maṇīdvīpa, New Delhi, 2001

ⁱⁱNyāya Sū. 1.1.4

iiiCarakasamhitā 1.11.20-21

ivNyāya Sū. 1.1.5

^vNyāya Sū. 1.1.7

viCarakasamhitā 1.11.18-19, 4.4.3

viiNvāva Sū. 1.1.6

viii Carakasamhitā 4.8.42

ixCarakasamhitā 4.8.27

^xA History of Indian philosophy, vol-2, S. N. Dāsguptā, p.402

xiBhāratīya Darśana kī Cintanadhārā, Rammurti Sharma, p. 197

xii A History of Indian philosophy, vol-2, S. N. Dāsguptā p. 216-217

xiiiNyāya Sū 2.1.69

xivAccording to Dr. P.L. Vaidya and Ram murti sharma, p.101

xvAcoording to Priyavart Sharma, p. 50-53

xviA History of Indian philosophy, vol-2, S. N. Dāsguptā, p.402